

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/910,115	08/13/97	BAYCHAR	BAY-310

FAY SHARPE BEALL FAGAN
MINNICH & MCKEE
104 EAST HUME AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA VA 22301

IM62/0813

EXAMINER	
JUSKA, C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1771	8
DATE MAILED: 08/13/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/910,115	Applicant(s) Baychar
	Examiner Cheryl Juska	Group Art Unit 1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl Juska

(3) _____

(2) Shrinath Malur

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 10, 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Malur called to ask about the status of the case. A first Office Action was sent out on Feb. 11, 1999. However, two preliminary amendments were entered on Feb. 24, 1999. Malur stated that the 6 month response date was tomorrow (Aug. 11, 1999). Hence, he was curious about the status of the case. I informed him that a new first Office Action, which considers the pre-amendments, would be entered and mailed later this week.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

[Signature]
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.